

APPLICATION NO.

09/622,261

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gev V

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 8423 1249

FILING DATE

10/10/2000

11/30/2001 Striker Striker & Stenby 103 East Neck Road

Huntington, NY 11743

EXAMINER GONZALEZ, JULIO C PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

2834 DATE MAILED: 11/30/2001

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

Harald Schach

4

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication	09/622,261	SCHACH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
		2834
	Julio C. Gonzalez	oot with the correspondence address
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sin	
riod for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR. THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of 18 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply seporified above itsees than through da If the period for reply seporified above itsees than through day Failure to reply within the sect or extension statute. Failure to reply within the sect or extension three months after it examed patent term adjustment. Sec 37 CFR 1.704(b). tatus 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRITION. CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, allow. s. a reply within the statutory minim ry period will apply and will expire 51 by statute, cause the application by the her mailing date of this communication. on 23 July 2001. This action is non-fin or allowance except for for allowance except for for e under Exparte Quayle, application.	RE 3 MONTH(S) FROM yr, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (20) days will be considered timely, (10) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, econom ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133), in, even if timely filed, may reduce any al. mai matters, prosecution as to the ments is 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
7) ☐ Claim(s) is all objects to restrict Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10 October 2 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction file	e Examiner. 1 <u>000</u> is/are objected to by 18d on is: a) appr	the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	o by the Examiner.	
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None or: 1.☒ Certified copies of the priority 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority	documents have been re documents have been re of the priority documents national Bureau (PCT Rul	ceived. ceived in Application No have been received in this National Stage te 17.2(a)). topies not received.
Attachment(s) 15) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviev 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1446	w (PTO-948)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 01-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/622,261
Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the stator device attached to a wiring of the printed circuit board as disclosed in claim 30 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 17, 22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 17, what is meant by the printed circuit board having "a leads through for said rotor shaft"?

In claim 25, is the lid attachable to both sides of the printed circuit board since the lid is attachable to "another side" of the printed circuit board?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.



Application/Control Number: 09/622,261

Art Unit: 2834

4. Claims 16-25, 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al in view of Muto et al.

Kimura et al discloses a shaft drive comprising a printed circuit board (PCB) 28, bearings 43, coils 47, a rotor and stator (see figure 9), a lid 53 which is locked to the printed circuit board.

However, Kimura et al does not disclose that the shaft passes through the PCB.

On the other hand, Muto et al discloses for the purpose of improving movement for an instrument of thin construction that the shaft 11c goes through the printed circuit board 13 (see figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design a drive shaft as disclosed by Kimura et al and to modify the invention by making a through hole to the PCB for the purpose of improving movement for an instrument of thin construction as disclosed by Muto et al.

5. Claims 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimura et al in view of Muto et al and ordinary skill in the art.

Kimura et al discloses a shaft drive comprising a printed circuit board 28, bearings 43, coils 47, a rotor and stator (see figure 9), a lid 53 which is locked to the printed circuit board.

However, Kimura et al does not disclose that the shaft passes through the PCB.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,261

Art Unit: 2834

On the other hand, Muto et al discloses for the purpose of improving movement for an instrument of thin construction that the shaft 11c goes through the printed circuit board 13 (see figure 3).

Kimura et al and Muto et al disclose the claimed invention except for the printed circuit board (PCB) facing the dial and pointer. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to reverse the location of the PCB, since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill the art. In re Einstein, 8 USPQ 167.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Application/Control Number: 09/622,261

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julio C. Gonzalez whose telephone number is (703) 305-1563. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8AM-5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308-1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Jcg3

November 9, 2001

NESTOR ENGINEZ
SUPERIORS, Y ROSSESSES 2820